

**CITY OF FORT WAYNE
DIVISION OF UTILITIES**

**CSO LONG TERM
CONTROL PLAN**

DECEMBER 2007



**CITY OF FORT WAYNE
DIVISION OF UTILITIES**

**CSO LONG TERM
CONTROL PLAN**

DECEMBER 2007



**MALCOLM
PIRNIE**

Long Term Control Plan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Long Term Control Plan – Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 OVERVIEW	1-1
1.2 BACKGROUND	1-1
1.3 FEDERAL CSO CONTROL POLICY	1-2
1.3.1 KEY ELEMENTS OF THE CSO CONTROL POLICY	1-3
1.3.2 FOUR KEY PRINCIPLES	1-3
1.3.3 LONG-TERM PLANNING APPROACH SUMMARY	1-4
1.3.4 DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION	1-4
1.4 PRELIMINARY ACTIONS & CONSIDERATIONS FOR LTCP DEVELOPEMENT	1-5
1.4.1 INITIAL ACTIVITIES	1-5
1.4.2 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND AGENCY INTERACTION	1-5
1.4.3 COORDINATION WITH STATE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS AUTHORITY	1-6
1.4.4 REQUEST FOR A WATER QUALITY STANDARDS REVISION RELATING TO IMPACTS OF CSOS	1-7
1.4.5 INTEGRATION OF CURRENT CSO CONTROL EFFORTS	1-7
1.4.6 WATERSHED APPROACH TO CSO CONTROL PLANNING	1-8
1.4.7 PROJECT GOALS	1-8
1.5 SUMMARY OF ALTERNATES EVALUATED AND DETERMINATION OF FINAL LONG TERM CONTROL PLAN	1-9
1.5.1 DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES	1-9
1.5.2 SELECTION OF RECOMMEND ALTERNATE	1-10
1.5.3 COST OF THE PLAN	1-11
1.5.4 MONITORING AND PROGRESS REPORTS	1-12

List of Tables in Chapter 1 Text

None

List of Figures in Chapter 1 Text

None

APPENDIX 1 – List of Content

Tables

None

Figures

- Figure 1.1.1 Service Area Map
- Figure 1.5.2.1 LTCP Overview Map

Attachments

None

Long Term Control Plan – Table of Contents

2.0 SYSTEM CHARACTERIZATION	2-1
2.1 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND AGENCY INTERACTION	2-1
2.2 OBJECTIVE OF SYSTEM CHARACTERIZATION	2-3
2.3 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NINE MINIMUM CONTROLS	2-3
2.4 COMPILATION AND ANALYSIS OF EXISTING DATA	2-3
2.4.1 WATERSHED CHARACTERISTIC AND MAPPING	2-3
2.4.2 COLLECTION SYSTEM UNDERSTANDING	2-9
2.4.2.1 Review of Historical Information	2-10
2.4.2.2 Study Area Mapping	2-11
2.4.2.3 System Field Investigation	2-11
2.4.2.4 Preliminary CSS Hydraulic Analysis	2-13
2.4.2.5 Additional Activities	2-13
2.4.3 CSO AND NON-CSO SOURCE CHARACTERIZATION	2-14
2.4.3.1 Characterization of Combined Sewage and CSOs	2-14
2.4.3.1.1 Historical Data Review	2-14
2.4.3.1.2 Mapping	2-15
2.4.3.2 Characterization of Non-CSO Pollutant Sources	2-15
2.4.4 RECEIVING WATERS	2-15
2.4.4.1 Review of Historical Data	2-15
2.4.4.2 Mapping	2-16
2.4.4.3 Field Investigations	2-16
2.5 COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM AND RECEIVING WATER MONITORING	2-19
2.5.1 MONITORING PLAN DEVELOPMENT	2-19
2.5.2 COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM MONITORING	2-19
2.5.2.1 Determine CSO Pollution Concentrations	2-19
2.5.2.2 Support Model Input, Calibration, and Verification	2-23
2.5.2.3 Evaluate the Effectiveness of the NMCs	2-24
2.5.3 RECEIVING WATER MONITORING	2-24
2.5.3.1 Assess the Attainment of WQS, Including Designated Uses	2-25
2.5.3.2 Establish Baseline Condition in Receiving Waters	2-34
2.5.3.2.1 Baseline Conditions – Aquatic Life and Sensitive Areas	2-34
2.5.3.3 Evaluate the Impacts on CSOs on Receiving Water Quality	2-36
2.5.3.4 Support Model Input	2-36
2.6 COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM AND RECEIVING WATER MODELING	2-36
2.6.1 COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM MODELING	2-36
2.6.1.1 CSS Modeling Objectives	2-36
2.6.1.2 CSS Modeling Selection	2-37
2.6.1.3 CSS Modeling Application	2-37
2.6.2 RECEIVING WATER MODELING	2-40
2.6.2.1 Receiving Water Modeling Objectives	2-40
2.6.2.2 Receiving Water Model Selection	2-40
2.6.2.3 Receiving Water Model Application	2-40
<u>List of Tables in Chapter 2 Text</u>	
2.4.1.1 Watershed Characteristics	2-4
2.4.1.2 Initial Long Term Water Resource Goals for Fort Wayne, Indiana	2-5
2.4.1.3 Data Sources Available to Characterize Watersheds	2-6

Long Term Control Plan – Table of Contents

2.4.1.4	Data Sources Available to Characterize Receiving Waters	2-7
2.4.1.5	Nonstructural Control Efforts	2-9
2.4.2.1	Inventory of Existing Sources to Describe the CSS	2-10
2.4.2.2	Inventory of Existing Sources to Analyze the CSS	2-11
2.4.2.3	Activities to Verify Record Drawings and Sewer Maps	2-12
2.4.2.4	Activities to Identify Facility Characteristics	2-12
2.4.2.5	Activities to Determine the Condition and Operability of CSS Facilities	2-12
2.4.2.6	Activities to Detect Dry Weather Flows	2-12
2.4.4.1	Data to Describe CSO Impacted Waters	2-16
2.4.4.2	Activities to Characterize the City’s Rivers	2-17
2.4.4.3	Stream Characteristics	2-18
2.5.2.1	1996 Rain Summary	2-20
2.5.2.2	Pollutant Analysis Summary	2-21
2.5.2.3	Combined Sewer Overflow Sampling Locations	2-22
2.5.2.4	2005 Rain Summary	2-22
2.5.2.5	2005 Pollutant Analysis Summary	2-23
2.5.3.1	River Sampling Studies	2-26
2.5.3.2	Cadmium and Copper Concentrations in Dry Weather	2-27
2.5.3.3	<i>E. Coli</i> Concentrations in Wet Weather	2-27
2.5.3.4	Cadmium and Copper Concentrations in Wet Weather	2-28
2.5.3.5	Ammonia Concentrations in Dry Weather	2-29
2.5.3.6	Ammonia Concentrations in Wet Weather	2-29
2.5.3.7	2002 DO Sampling Results	2-31
2.5.3.8	2003 DO Sampling Results	2-31
2.5.3.9	Lead Concentrations	2-32
2.6.1.3	Predicted Annual Regulator Response	2-38
2.6.2.1	Hydraulic Calibration Summary	2-42
2.6.2.2	Concentrations of Sources Used in the Model	2-43
2.6.2.3	Distribution of Land-Based Inflows and Pollutant Loads	2-44

List of Figures in Chapter 2 Text

None

APPENDIX 2 – List of Content

Tables

None

Figures

Figure 1	River Watersheds
Figure 2	HUC 14 Drainage Network within Watersheds
Figure 3	River Sample Locations
Figure 4	Storm Sewer Drainage, Drainage Network within Watersheds
Figure 5	Combined Sewer System
Figure 6	CSS Sampling Map
Figure 7	Industrial Pretreatment Section, Significant Industrial Users
Figure 8	Receiving Water Characterization

Long Term Control Plan – Table of Contents

Attachments

Attachment 1	Selection of Sampling Sites
Attachment 2	2005 River Sampling Program Results
Attachment 3	2005 River Sampling Data (Maumee River and Relief Channel)
Attachment 4	City of Fort Wayne Weekly Sampling Results 2001-2003
Attachment 5	City/IDEM Field Data Results 2002-2003
Attachment 6	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Investigation
Attachment 7	1996 and 2005 River Sampling Program Parameter Averages

3.0	<u>DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES FOR CSO CONTROL</u>	3-1
3.1	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND AGENCY INTERACTION	3-1
3.1.1	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	3-1
3.1.1.1	Public Meetings	3-1
3.1.1.2	Establishing Community-Based Water Quality Goal	3-2
3.1.2	Regulatory Interaction	3-2
3.2	LONG-TERM CONTROL PLAN APPROACH	3-3
3.2.1	WATER QUALITY GOALS	3-3
3.2.2	GENERAL APPROACH TO LONG-TERM CONTROL PLAN DEVELOPMENT	3-4
3.3	DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVES FOR CSO CONTROL	3-6
3.3.1	GENERAL APPROACH	3-6
3.3.2	DEFINITION OF CSO CONTROL GOALS	3-6
3.3.2.1	CSO Control Goals	3-7
3.3.3	APPROACHES TO STRUCTURING CSO CONTROL ALTERNATIVES	3-8
3.3.4	GOALS OF INITIAL ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT	3-8
3.3.5	IDENTIFICATION OF CONTROL ALTERNATIVES	3-9
3.3.5.1	Screening of Wet-Weather Technologies	3-9
3.3.5.1.1	Source Controls	3-10
3.3.5.1.2	Collection System Controls	3-10
3.3.5.1.3	Storage Technologies	3-11
3.3.5.1.4	Treatment Technologies	3-12
3.3.5.1.5	Floatables Control	3-12
3.3.5.1.6	Non-Traditional Alternatives	3-13
3.3.5.1.7	Non-CSO Source Alternatives	3-13
3.3.5.2	Identification of Candidate System-Wide Alternatives	3-14
3.3.5.2.1	Qualitative Considerations	3-15
3.3.5.2.2	Alternative 1: Tunnel Storage	3-17
3.3.5.2.3	Alternative 2: Satellite Disinfection Basins	3-18
3.3.5.2.4	Alternative 3: Conveyance to CSO Ponds with Treatment/Storage at Ponds	3-19
3.3.5.2.4.1	WPCP and Pond Characteristics	3-20
3.3.5.2.4.2	Alternative No. 3A – Enhanced High-Rate Clarification/High-Rate Treatment at CSO Ponds 1&2	3-21
3.3.5.2.4.3	Alternative No. 3B – Flow Equalization and Enhanced High-Rate Clarification/High Rate Treatment at CSO Ponds 1&2	3-22

Long Term Control Plan – Table of Contents

3.3.5.2.4.4	Alternative No. 3C – Wet-Weather Storage at CSO Ponds 1&2 with Dewatering to WPCP	3-23
3.3.5.2.4.5	Alternative No. 3D – High-Rate Disinfection at CSO Pond 1	3-24
3.3.5.2.4.6	Alternative No. 3E – Wet-Weather Storage at CSO Ponds 1&2 with Dewatering to WPCP, Combined with EHRC/HRT for Flows Exceeding Pond Storage Capacity	3-25
3.3.5.2.5	Alternative 4: Conveyance to CSO Ponds with EHRC/HRT Facilities at Ponds, Satellite Treatment at Rudisill Subbasin	3-27
3.3.5.2.5.1	Alternative No. 4A – Enhanced High-Rate Clarification/High-Rate Treatment with Disinfection	3-28
3.3.5.2.5.2	Alternative No. 4B – Satellite Disinfection Basin	3-28
3.3.5.2.6	Alternative 5: Partial Sewer Separation	3-28
3.3.5.2.6.1	Partial Separation as Included in the LTCP Alternatives Development	3-29
3.3.5.2.6.2	Candidate Areas for Partial Sewer Separation as Part of CSO Control	3-30
3.3.5.2.6.3	Relationship between CSSCIP and CSO Control Decisions	3-30
3.3.5.2.7	Alternative 6: Conveyance to CSO Ponds with EHRC/HRT Facilities at Ponds, Local Complete Separation in Subbasin K11010 (Rudisill)	3-32
3.3.5.2.8	Alternative 7: Complete Separation	3-33
3.3.5.2.9	Parallel Interceptor Component	3-34
3.3.5.2.9.1	Configuration A – Parallel Interceptor from Outfall 21 to the CSO Ponds	3-34
3.3.5.2.9.2	Configuration B – Parallel Interceptor from Outfall 21 to the CSO Ponds with Satellite Treatment or Separation at Rudisill	3-34
3.3.6	PRELIMINARY SIZING CONSIDERATIONS	3-35
3.3.7	COST/PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS	3-37
3.3.8	PRELIMINARY SITING ISSUES	3-37
3.3.9	PRELIMINARY OPERATING STRATEGIES	3-38
3.3.9.1	System Issues	3-39
3.3.9.2	WPCP Issues	3-39
3.3.9.3	Future Operation and Maintenance Issues	3-41
3.4	EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES FOR CSO CONTROL	3-41
3.4.1	PROJECT COST	3-42
3.4.2	PERFORMANCE	3-42
3.4.3	COST/PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS	3-42
3.4.4	NON-MONITARY FACTORS	3-43
3.4.4.1	Environmental Issues/Impacts	3-43
3.4.4.1.1	Level of Treatment	3-43
3.4.4.1.2	Adaptability to Future Regulatory Requirements	3-43
3.4.4.2	Technical Issues	3-43
3.4.4.2.1	Inconvenience During Operation	3-43
3.4.4.2.2	Operation and Maintenance Staff Requirements	3-44
3.4.4.3	Implementation Issues	3-44

Long Term Control Plan – Table of Contents

3.4.4.3.1	Inconvenience During Construction	3-44
3.4.4.3.2	Coordination with Other City Programs	3-44
3.4.4.3.3	Potential for Regulatory Support	3-44
3.4.4.3.4	Smoothness of Rate Impact	3-44
3.4.5	RATING AND RANKING OF ALTERNATIVES	3-45
3.4.5.1	Stage 1: Rating and Ranking of All Alternatives	3-45
3.4.5.1.1	Weighting of Selection Criteria	3-45
3.4.5.1.2	Scoring of Alternatives	3-46
3.4.5.1.3	Ranking of Alternatives	3-46
3.4.5.1.4	Discussion of Rankings	3-47
3.4.5.1.5	Selection of an Alternative 3 Configuration	3-47
3.4.5.1.6	Detailed Examination of Criteria Scores for Alternative 1	3-48
3.4.5.1.7	Conclusions from Rating and Ranking of the Full Set of Alternatives	3-48
3.4.5.2	Stage 2: Advanced Rating and Ranking of Two Short-Listed Alternatives	3-49
3.4.5.2.1	Expansion of Performance Metrics	3-49
3.4.5.2.2	Continuous Annual Simulations	3-49
3.4.5.2.3	Present Worth Analysis	3-50
3.4.5.2.3.1	Capital Cost Estimates	3-50
3.4.5.2.3.2	O&M Cost Estimates	3-51
3.4.5.2.3.3	Additional Assumptions	3-51
3.4.5.2.3.4	Resulting Total Present Worth Values	3-52
3.4.5.2.4	Cost/Performance Evaluation	3-52
3.4.5.2.5	Final Rating and Ranking	3-52
3.4.5.2.5.1	Performance	3-52
3.4.5.2.5.2	Capital Cost	3-52
3.4.5.2.5.3	Present Worth	3-53
3.4.5.2.5.4	Cost/Performance	3-53
3.4.5.2.5.5	Water Quality Benefit	3-53
3.4.5.2.5.6	Distinguishing Non-Monetary Considerations	3-54
3.4.5.2.5.7	Conclusion and Selection	3-54
3.5	FINANCIAL CAPABILITY	3-55
3.5.1	INTRODUCTION	3-55
3.5.2	KEY ASSUMPTIONS	3-56
3.5.3	CURRENT RATE STRUCTURE	3-58
3.5.4	PROJECTED REVENUE REQUIREMENTS, FINANCING, AND RATE IMPACTS	3-58
3.5.5	FINANCING ASSUMPTIONS	3-60
3.5.6	IMPACTS OF FUTURE COMPETITION AND INFLATION OF CAPITAL COSTS	3-60
3.5.7	EFFECT OF COMPETING UTILITIES/URBAN SPRAWL	3-62
3.5.8	MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME	3-64
3.5.9	U.S. EPA FINANCIAL CAPABILITY ANALYSIS	3-70
3.5.9.1	The Residential Indicator	3-71
3.5.9.2	Cost Per Household	3-72
3.5.9.3	Impacts to Specific Communities	3-72
3.5.9.4	Permittee Financial Indicators	3-74
3.5.9.4.1	Debt Indicators	3-74

Long Term Control Plan – Table of Contents

3.5.9.4.1.1	Bond Rating	3-74
3.5.9.4.1.2	Net Debt	3-74
3.5.9.5	Socioeconomic Indicators	3-76
3.5.9.5.1	Unemployment Rate	3-76
3.5.9.5.2	Service Area MHI v. National MHI	3-76
3.5.9.6	Financial Management Indicators	3-76
3.5.9.6.1	Property Tax Revenues as a Percent of Full Market Value	3-77
3.5.9.6.2	Property Tax Revenue Collection Rate	3-77
3.5.9.7	Analyzing Financial Capability Indicators	3-77
3.5.10	SUMMARY	3-79

List of Tables in Chapter 3 Text

3.1.1.2	Community-Based Water Quality Goals - Conclusions from Stakeholder Interviews	3-2
3.3.9.1	Projected WPCP Peak Capacities	3-40
3.3.9.2	Range in Dewatering Capacity for CSO Storage and Separate Sanitary EQ	3-40
3.5.4.1	Total Capital Needed	3-59
3.5.6.1	Midwest Cities' CSO Control Programs – Estimated Costs	3-61
3.5.8.1	EPA Sample Formula for Calculation of Base-Year (1999) MHI	3-70
3.5.9.1	Financial Impact Based on Residential Indicator	3-71
3.5.9.2	Residential Indicator Analysis Based on Implementation Period	3-72
3.5.9.3	Peak Impact, Wayne Township	3-73
3.5.9.4	Peak Impact – Selected Communities	3-73
3.5.9.5	Summary of Financial Capability per U.S. EPA Benchmarks	3-77
3.5.9.6	Financial Capability Matrix	3-78

List of Figures in Chapter 3 Text

Figure 3.5.7.1	Shift in Population, 1990 – 2000	3-63
Figure 3.5.9.4	Taxing Districts within Allen County	3-75

List of Charts in Chapter 3 Text

Chart 3.5.4.1	Projected Revenue Requirements	3-59
Chart 3.5.7.1	Lots Platted in Aboite and Lafayette Townships (2000 - 2005)	3-64
Chart 3.5.8.1	Annual Per Capita Income in Allen County as a Percentage of the U.S.	3-65
Chart 3.5.8.2	2001 - 2004 Job Change by 2004 Annual Wage (Allen County)	3-66
Chart 3.5.8.3	Percentage Growth in Per Capita Personal Income between 1969 and 2004	3-67
Chart 3.5.8.4	Per Capita Personal Income in 1969	3-68
Chart 3.5.8.5	Per Capita Personal Income in 2004	3-68
Chart 3.5.8.6	2004 Location Quotients for Manufacturing	3-69

APPENDIX 3 – List of Content

Tables

3.1.1.1	Summary of Public Meetings during LTCP Development
3.3.5.1	Summary of Technology Screening Process
3.3.5.2	Components of Integrated System-Wide Alternatives
3.3.5.3	Configuration of Alternatives to Capture All Overflows
3.3.5.4	Estimated Cost-effective Partial Sewer Separation Areas for CSO Program
3.3.5.5	Completed CSSCIP Improvements
3.3.5.6	Individual CSSCIP Projects in Subbasin K11010

Long Term Control Plan – Table of Contents

- 3.3.5.7 Sewer Separation in K11010 - Sanitary Sewer Capacity Estimates
- 3.3.6.1 Relationship between Design Storm Return Period and Assumed Control Level
- 3.3.6.2 Peak Overflow Rate by Design Storm
- 3.3.6.3 Total Overflow Volume by Design Storm
- 3.3.7.1 Stage 1 Capital Cost Estimates by Alternative
- 3.3.9.3 General Operational Issues Associated With Wet-Weather Control Technologies
- 3.4.4.1 Non-Monetary Factors Important to the City of Fort Wayne
- 3.4.5.1 Weighting of Selection Criteria
- 3.4.5.2 Average Scores by Individual Criteria for Each Alternative
- 3.4.5.3 Weighted Scores for Alternatives
- 3.4.5.4 Stage 2 Capital Costs for Short-Listed Alternatives
- 3.4.5.5 Present worth Costs for Short-Listed Alternatives
- 3.4.5.6 Present worth Cost versus Performance for Alternative 3E
- 3.4.5.7 Present worth Cost versus Performance for Alternative 4A
- 3.4.5.8 Summary of Stage 2 Comparison

Figures

- Figure 3.3.5.1 Potential Tunnel Alignments
- Figure 3.3.5.2 Alternative 3A Flow Schematic
- Figure 3.3.5.3 Alternative 3B Flow Schematic
- Figure 3.3.5.4 Alternative 3C Flow Schematic
- Figure 3.3.5.5 Alternative 3D Flow Schematic
- Figure 3.3.5.6 Alternative 3E Flow Schematic
- Figure 3.3.5.7 Alternative 4A and 4B Schematic
- Figure 3.3.5.8 Proposed Parallel Interceptor Alignments
- Figure 3.3.7.1 Preliminary Cost/Performance Curves
- Figure 3.4.5.1 Summary of Alternative Scoring
- Figure 3.4.5.2 Cost/Performance Curves – Annual Activations
- Figure 3.4.5.3 Cost/Performance Curves – Annual Volume from System CSO
- Figure 3.4.5.4 Cost/Performance Curves – Annual Days Exceeding Instream Bacteria WQS
- Figure 3.4.5.5 Cost/Performance Curve – Annual Activations In Terms of Capital Costs

Attachments

- Attachment 1 Cost Estimating Methodology
- Attachment 2 Typical Year

4.0	<u>SELECTION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE LONG-TERM CONTROL PLAN</u>	4-1
	4.1 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	4-1
	4.2 FINAL SELECTION AND DEVELOPMENT OF RECOMMENDED PLAN	4-1
	4.2.1 SELECTION OF CONTROL LEVEL FOR THE RECOMMENDED PLAN	4-2
	4.2.2 TECHNOLOGY CONFIGURATION OF THE RECOMMENDED PLAN	4-3
	4.2.3 SCHEDULE AND COSTS FOR THE RECOMMENDED PLAN	4-4
	4.2.4 DESIGN CRITERIA, PERFORMANCE CRITERIA, AND CRITICAL MILESTONES FOR THE RECOMMENDED PLAN	4-4
	4.3 FINANCING PLAN	4-5
	4.3.1 SUMMARY OF FUTURE WASTEWATER UTILITY REVENUE REQUIREMENTS	4-5
	4.3.2 KEY ASSUMPTIONS	4-5

Long Term Control Plan – Table of Contents

4.3.3	ALTERNATIVE FINANCING EVALUATIONS	4-6
4.4	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	4-7
4.4.1	BASIS OF LTCP SCHEDULE	4-7
4.5	OPERATIONAL PLAN	4-7
4.6	POST-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING PROGRAM	4-8
4.6.1	INTRODUCTION	4-8
	4.6.1.1 Regulatory Requirements	4-9
	4.6.1.2 Purpose & Scope	4-9
4.6.2	PROGRAM ELEMENTS	4-10
	4.6.2.1 Performance Criteria	4-11
	4.6.2.2 Water Quality Measures	4-11
4.6.3	POST-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING AND DATA COLLECTION	4-12
	4.6.3.1 Monitoring Schedule	4-12
	4.6.3.2 Monitoring Stations	4-12
	4.6.3.3 Stream Monitoring	4-13
	4.6.3.4 CSO Outfall Monitoring	4-14
	4.6.3.4.1 Outfall Monitoring for Activations	4-14
	4.6.3.4.2 Outfall Monitoring for Assessing Satellite Disinfection Performance	4-14
	4.6.3.5 Water Quality Monitoring	4-15
	4.6.3.6 WPCP Effluent Monitoring	4-16
	4.6.3.7 Rainfall Monitoring	4-16
4.6.4	DATA RETRIEVAL, MANAGEMENT & ANALYSIS	4-16
	4.6.4.1 Model-Based Approach to Assessing Compliance	4-17
	4.6.4.2 Alternate Compliance Assessment Approach	4-19
4.6.5	QUALITY CONTROL	4-20
4.6.6	DATA EVALUATION & PROGRESS REPORTING	4-20
	4.6.6.1 Milestone Reports	4-21
	4.6.6.2 Final Report	4-22
	4.6.6.3 Progress Report to Public	4-22
4.6.7	SUMMARY	4-22

List of Tables in Chapter 4 Text

None

List of Figures in Chapter 4 Text

None

APPENDIX 4 – List of Content

Tables

4.2.1.1	Summary of CSO Metrics for Range of Activation Levels
4.2.2.1	Configuration of Recommended Plan by Individual Overflow
4.2.3.1	CSO Control Measures, Capital Costs, and Schedule
4.2.4.1	CSO Control Measures, Design Criteria, and Critical Milestones
4.6.2.1	Post-Construction Monitoring for CSO Control Measures by River Watershed
4.6.3.1	CSO and Stream Monitoring
4.6.6.1	PCMP Reporting Schedule

Long Term Control Plan – Table of Contents

Figures

- Figure 4.2.1.1 St. Joseph River CSOs
- Figure 4.4.1.1 LTCP Implementation Schedule
- Figure 4.6.3.1 Post Construction Monitoring Stations

Attachments

- Attachment 1 City of Fort Wayne CSO Disinfection Pilot Study

<u>5.0 USE ATTAINABILITY ANALYSIS</u>	5-1
5.1 INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.1.1 PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES	5-1
5.1.2 GENERAL REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR UAAs	5-3
5.1.3 EPA AND INDIANA POLICIES SUPPORT THE COORDINATION OF LTCP DEVELOPMENT WITH REVIEW OF THE POTENTIAL APPROPRIATENES OF WATER QUALITY STANDARD REVISIONS	5-3
5.1.3.1 EPA Policy and Guidance	5-3
5.1.3.2 State Policy	5-4
5.2 CURRENT RECREATIONAL STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO WATERS IMPACTED BY THE CITY'S CSOs	5-4
5.3 DETERMINATION OF EXISTING USE	5-5
5.4 ATTAINING THE CURRENT RECREATIONAL DESIGNATED USE IS NOT FEASIBLE DURING WET WEATHER CONDITIONS	5-6
5.4.1 REASONS FOR INFEASIBILITY OF ATTAINMENT OF FULL-BODY CONTACT RECREATION DURING SPECIFIED WET WEATHER CONDITIONS	5-6

List of Tables in Chapter 5 Text

None

List of Figures in Chapter 5 Text

None

APPENDIX 5 – List of Content

Tables

None

Figures

None

Attachments

None

GLOSSARY